January 30, 1975

FRA-TM-70

MONTE CARLO, FINITE ELEMENT AND SN METHODS

Ely M. Gelbard

Applied Physics Division Argonne National Laboratory Argonne, Illinois 60439

FRA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 70

Results reported in the FRA-TM series of memoranda frequently are preliminary and subject to revision. Consequently they should not be quoted or referenced without the author's permission.

The facilities of Argonne National Laboratory are owned by the United States Government. Under the terms of a contract (W-31-109-Eng-38) between the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Argonne Universities Association and The University of Chicago, the University employs the staff and operates the Laboratory in accordance with policies and programs formulated, approved and reviewed by the Association.

#### MEMBERS OF ARGONNE UNIVERSITIES ASSOCIATION

The University of Arizona
Carnegie-Mellon University
Case Western Reserve University
The University of Chicago
University of Cincinnati
Illinois Institute of Technology
University of Illinois
Indiana University
Iowa State University
The University of Iowa

Kansas State University
The University of Kansas
Loyola University
Marquette University
Michigan State University
The University of Michigan
University of Minnesota
University of Missouri
Northwestern University
University of Notre Dame

The Ohio State University
Ohio University
The Pennsylvania State University
Purdue University
Saint Louis University
Southern Illinois University
The University of Texas at Austin
Washington University
Wayne State University
The University of Wisconsin

## NOTICE-

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights. Mention of commercial products, their manufacturers, or their suppliers in this publication does not imply or connote approval or disapproval of the product by Argonne National Laboratory or the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

# MONTE CARLO, FINITE ELEMENT AND $s_{\rm N}$ METHODS\*

Ely M. Gelbard

Applied Physics Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

This paper surveys the present capabilities and limitations of  $S_{\rm N}$ , Monte Carlo, and finite element transport computational methods. Outstanding problems remaining in these computational methods are discussed.

Work supported by the Energy Research and Development Administration.

## INTRODUCTION

The neutron transport computational methods in use today seem to fall naturally into five different classes. Collision probability and transfer matrix methods, which are treated in Leonard's paper (1), constitute two of these classes. Methods in these two categories tend to be very efficient precisely because, in practice, they involve very substantial approximations. In contrast, the Monte Carlo and discrete ordinates methods, and methods based on polynomial expansions, are generally much less efficient (and more expensive), but also more accurate. It is these more or less exact methods which will be discussed here.

#### DETERMINISTIC METHODS

The earliest, and perhaps the simplest, polynomial expansion method is, of course, the spherical harmonics method. Both the spherical harmonics method and the discrete ordinates method were applied, first, to the slab transport equation and, in slab geometry, these two methods are very closely related. It is only when we deal with more complicated geometries that the discrete ordinate and spherical harmonics methods acquire totally different properties, both from a theoretical and a practical point of view.

There is at least one very important and very striking difference between the discrete ordinate and spherical harmonics equations, a difference in their fundamental properties. The discrete ordinate equations, in effect, constrain the neutrons to move in straight lines, while the spherical harmonics equations do not. This distortion of neutron trajectories in the spherical harmonics method gives rise to some peculiar anomalies and has important consequences.

Imagine, for example, an absorbing sphere of radius R (which will be called "Region I"), embedded in an infinite absorbing medium, a medium in which the scattering cross section is zero. There is a uniform isotropic source in the sphere, but the surrounding region (Region II) is source-free. Suppose we wish to compute the net leakage rate out of the sphere. It is clear on physical grounds that the leakage rate should not depend on the Region II cross section but, in a spherical harmonics approximation of any order, it does. Further, in diffusion theory, we find that the flux in both regions becomes flat, and the leakage rate goes to zero, as  $\Sigma_{\rm aII}$  goes to zero. When the product  $\Sigma_{\rm aII}$ R is small, while  $\Sigma_{\rm aIR}$  is large, the diffusion approximation grossly underestimates the leakage rate. In the complementary problem, where the source is in Region II and Region I is source-

The neutron transport conquisional mainful in the heat heat heat heat to fall network into the different diarres. Collision probability and transfer matrix meriods, which are treated in heat heat transfer matrix neutron of these transfer. Actions in these two categories and to be your efficient predictly as farmed to be your efficient predictly as manded histories or heat transfer and histories confined pathods and mathods heat and proceed and proceed on solvential approximations, and proceed as solvential of the strategies of the same or test and matrix which will be discussed here.

## SUBSTER DESIGNATION

The earliest, and parhant the simplest, polynomial explorasion method is, of course, the spherical hermonics select. Both the apportial hermonics method and the discrete ordinaria method were applied, first, to the siab transport equation and, in slab geometry, these two methods are very closely relaxed. It is only when we ideal with more couplicated geometrice that the discrete ordinate and spherical narrouss methods arquire intelly different properties, both from a theoretical and a practical point of view.

There is at least one very important and very striking difference between the distrete ordinate and spherical hardenics, equations, a difference in their fundamental properties. The distrete ordinate equations, in effect, constrain the mentions to move in straight lines, while the spherical harmonics equations do not. This distortion of neutron trajectories in the apherical harmonics, method gives miss to some peculiar enemalies and has important consequences.

imagine, for example, an absorbing sphere of radius R (which will be called "Region I"), embedded in an infinite absorbing needlum, a medium in which the scontiaring cross rection is zero. Seedlum, a medium in which the scottering cross rection is zero. There is a uniform isotropic source-free. Supports we wish to compute the nest leakage rate out of the sphere. Supports we wish to payent it is clear on again II overs santion but, is a spherical immonite approximation of any water, it does . Further, in diffusion (heer), we find that the Tilly in both regions become flat, and the leakage find that the Tilly in both regions become flat, and the leakage is small, while is, it is large, the diffusion opproximation growless land, where the somplementary freezy, where the somplementary growless, where the semplementary problem, where the source is in Region II and Resion I is source-

free then, again when  $\Sigma_{aII}R << 1$  and  $\Sigma_{aI}R >> 1$ , diffusion theory grossly underestimates the absorption rate in Region I.

Of course this particular problem configuration is not one which will be met in practice very often but, unfortunately, related anomalies do crop up in practical problems. It is sometimes necessary, in reactor analysis, to compute the leakage into a small absorbing lump. If such a lump, with a large macroscopic cross section, is embedded in a material having a much lower cross-section diffusion theory may grossly underestimate the absorption rate in the lump. Further, if the lump is small enough, the sequence of  $\rm P_L$  approximations will converge only very slowly to the true absorption rate.

Another well-known weakness of the spherical harmonics methods is their inability to treat streaming in voids. Unless the diffusion coefficient is artificially adjusted the diffusion approximation completely falls apart in voids and, again, the sequence of  $P_L$  approximations converges very slowly in such cases.

In certain situations the  $P_L$  equations can be approximated very accurately by a simple set of coupled diffusion equations  $(\underline{2},\underline{3})$ , and in such situations they are easy to solve. On the other hand, in their exact form, the multidimensional  $P_L$  equations become rapidly more complicated as L increases. Computational algorithms for solving the  $P_L$  equations tend, therefore, to be complicated, inefficient, and not completely reliable.

Given all the disadvantages of the spherical harmonics method it is not surprising that most of its early supporters and advocates abandoned it some time ago. Until recently the spherical harmonics had been used only rarely in more than one dimension.

But obviously the discrete ordinates method also has weaknesses and, as the use of discrete ordinates codes has become more widespread, these weaknesses have attracted a good deal of attention. Probably the most famous affliction of the discrete ordinates method is the ray effect (4). If an isotropic line source is inserted into an infinite, purely absorbing medium, the scalar flux produced by this source should certainly have azimuthal symmetry. But in a discrete ordinates approximation the neutrons can move only along rays which lie along the ordinates. In the x-y plane then, the scalar flux will be infinite along the x-y projections of each ordinate, and zero elsewhere. Of course in a medium with scattering the flux will be positive everywhere, but noticeable spurious bumps in the flux may remain. There is no such effect in the spherical harmonics method, which has very nice symmetry properties. The spherical harmonics equations are invariant under all rotations of the coordinate axis.

free then, again when Large ve I had Eagle'ss I, diffusion theory grossly underestimates the observation rate in Region-I.

Of course this particular problem configuration is not one which will be not in practice very often out, unfortunately, related emonalist do crop up in practical problems. It is sometimes december, in reactor analysis, to compute the leakage into a mail absorbing law, if such a lund, with a tripe quarkscopic cross section, is embedded in a material having a good lower cross-section diffusion theory may grously underestimate the absorption are in the lung. Further, if the lung is small anough, the sequence of P, approximations will converge only vary alouly to the true absorption rate.

Another well-known weakness of the apherical nevertor methods is their inability to treat streaming in voids. Unless the diffusion coefficient is artificially adjusted the diffusion approximation completely falls apart in voids and aroin the sequence of Figure the converges very slowly in such cases

In certain structions the Pr equations can be approximated very accurately by a simple set of coupled difficulon sauctions (2,1), and in such situations they are easy to selve. On the other hand, in their exact form, the multidimentional Pr equations become rapidly more complicated as L increases. Computational algorithms for solving the Pr equations tend, therefore, to be complicated, inefficient, and not completely reliable.

Given all the disadvantages of the spherical hardonics method is is not supprising that most of its early supporters and advocates chendomed it some time ago. Until recently the spherical harmonics had been used only rarely in more than one dismusion.

But obviously the discrete ordinates method also has weaknesses mid, as the use of discrete ordinates codes has become
more sidespraed, these vesimesses have attracted a good deal of
attention. Probably the most famous seffication of the discrete
ordinates method is the ray effect (6). If an isotropic line
source is inserted into an infinite, parely absorbing sedius,
the scalar flux produced by this source should certainly have
assembled symmetry, but in a discrete ordinates approximation
the neutrons can save only along rays which lie along the ordinates. In the x-y plane then, the scalar flux will be infinite
along the x-y projections of each ordinate, and zero elsewhere.
There is no such effect by specifical harmonics mestod, which
has very nice symmetry properties. The spherical harmonics eque
has very nice symmetry properties. The spherical harmonics eque

while the discrete ordinates equations are not. After being harassed by ray effects for many years, practitioners of the discrete ordinates method started looking covetously at the spherical harmonics method, even while many disillusioned spherical harmonics specialists were reluctantly switching their allegiance to the  $S_N$  method.

It was Lathrop (4,5) who first showed that discrete ordinate codes, slightly modified, could be used to solve "spherical-harmonics-like" equations. Following a suggestion by Carlson, Lathrop added a fictitious source to the  $S_N$  equations, a source which was a linear combination of spatial derivatives of the angular fluxes. This source was so defined as to guarantee that a limited number of flux moments would satisfy spherical harmonics equations of some order, L. Lathrop's method required, in principle, that L  $\leq$  N - 3, where N is the order of the  $S_N$  approximation. In practice, it turned out that Lathrop often obtained anomalous results for L > N/2.

It is clear that Lathrop's modified  $S_N$  equations are not exactly equivalent to the sphrical harmonics equations since, for a given problem configuration and a given L, his computed scalar fluxes vary with N. Why this should be true, however, seems not at all clear at this time.

Reed (6) points out that: "In x-y geometry standard SN quadrature sets involve the use of 1/2[N(N + 2)] quadrature points or directions, giving 1/2[N(N + 2)] unknown functions to be determined. In this same geometry a  $P_L$  solution will involve 1/2[(L+1)(L+2)] unknown functions." Thus, in Lathrop's method, the number of SN ordinates is much greater than the number of unknown functions in the "equivalent" spherical harmonics approximations. An alternate method proposed by Reed is somewhat more economical in that the SN equations are made "equivalent" to the  $P_1$  equations with L = N - 1. Reed's method also involves a fictitious source (again a linear combination of derivatives of the angular fluxes) but a source which is somewhat different from Lathrop's. Reed notes that, if one is willing to adopt unsymmetric SN quadrature sets, then it is possible to define the fictitious source in such a way that the modified SN calculation becomes "equivalent" to a PI solution with the same number of unknown functions. Thus, for example, a three-ordinate set would be required for an  $S_N$  -  $P_1$  calculation. In work published simultaneously with Reed's, Jung and his coworkers (using methods very much like Reed's) independently developed SN - PL equations of this sort (7), i.e.  $S_N - P_L$  equations with 1/2[L(L + 1)] ordinates, arranged in unsymmetric sets.

To what extent are these various  $S_N$  -  $P_L$  approximations  $\it really$  equivalent to spherical harmonics approximations? The

while the drecrete ordinates equalities are not. After heigh horassed by ray effects for many years, practitioners of the discrete ordinates method started looking coverously at the spherical harmonics method, even will many distillustually substantial allegiance the Su method.

It was Lathtop (4,5) who first showed that director ordinate codes, slightly modified, could be used to solve "spherical-barmonica-like" equations. Following a suggestion by Carlson, Lathtop added a fictitious source to the Sg equations, a source which was a linear continuation of sparial derivatives of the angular filmes. This source was so defined as to guarantee what a limited number of flux moments would satisfy aphetical hermonics equations of some order, L. Lithtop's mothed required, in grinciple, that L < N - N, where N is the order of the Sg approximation. In practice, it turned out that Lathtop often obtained anomalous results for L > N/2.

It is clear that lathrop's modified SN equations are not exactly equivalent to the sphrital harmonics equations since, for a given problem configuration and a given L, his computed scalar fluxes vary with N. Why this should be true, however, seems not at all clear at this time.

Reed (6) points our that: "In x-y geometry stundard in quadrature peints or rature sets involve the use of 1/2[N(N + 2)] quadrature peints or directions, giving 1/2[N(N + 3)] uninown functions to be determined. In this same geometry a P<sub>1</sub> solution will involve 1/2[(L \* 1)(L \* 2)] unknown functions." Thus, in isthrop's method, the number of S<sub>N</sub> ordinates is much frester than the number of uninom functions in the "could be somewhat approximations. An altername method proposed by Reed is somewhat note to the P<sub>1</sub> equations with L \* N - 1. Reed's method also involves a fictitious source (again a linear combination of derivatives of the angular fluxes) but a source which is somewhat afferent from the angular fluxes) but a source which is somewhat afferent from the angular fluxes but a source which is somewhat afferent from third and source in such a way that the modified Sq calculation betticing source in such a way that the modified Sq calculation to comes "equivalent" for a Pq solution with the new number of micrown functions. Thus, for example, a threa-ordinate set would be required for an Sq - Pq calculation. In very much like Reed's) independently developed Sy \* Pq equations of this sort (7), i.e. Sq - Pq equations with 1/2[i(t + 1)] ordinates, arranged in unsymmetric sure.

To what extent are these various by - Pt approximations deally equivalent to epherical hermonics approximations? The

Reed and Jung S<sub>N</sub> - P<sub>L</sub> equations are certainly equivalent to true P<sub>I</sub> equations at points where the cross sections are continuous. There is, however, no obvious connection between the Rumyantsev (8) interface conditions, generally used in P<sub>L</sub> approximations, and the continuity conditions imposed at interfaces in most SN - PL codes. Thus, for example, in a Pl approximation, and in x-y geometry, the x current is a continuous function of x and the y current is continuous in y; but the y current is not always continuous in x. The y current must be discontinuous across any interface parallel to the y axis if D is discontinuous. If D is discontinuous, then it is impossible for a continuous scalar flux and continuous currents to satisfy the P1 equations. Yet in SN - Pl codes the angular fluxes are generally taken to be continuous across interfaces. The Pr moments are defined to be linear combinations of the angular fluxes, linear combinations with constant coefficients. All moments, it would seem, must then be continuous.

At this time the behavior of  $S_N$  -  $P_I$  solutions is not clearly understood. It seems likely, however, that if, in an SN - PI code, the angular fluxes are forced to be continuous, then they will change very rapidly at interfaces so as to approximate discontinuous functions.\* Perhaps, as the mesh widths go to zero, the angular fluxes become discontinuous and, correspondingly, the fictitious sources contain δ-function components. In recent work at Los Alamos (11), Miller finds that  $S_N$  -  $P_1$  currents do, in fact, appear to satisfy the ordinary P1 continuity conditions at convergence, but that the convergence rate of the iterative computational process depends strongly on the nature of the spatial difference equations. The diamond-difference equations explicitly force continuity of the angular fluxes at interfaces and, when the diamond equations are used, convergence is very slow. On the other hand, the step equations do not explicitly force continuity: when step equations are used convergence is achieved more quickly, though the convergence rate remains much lower than in conventional SN codes.

At any rate the  $S_N$  -  $P_L$  approximations  $\mathit{are}$  free of ray effects (7). In a limited number (and perhaps not enough) tests these approximations seem, in addition, to be fairly accurate. Unfortunately the  $S_N$  -  $P_L$  calculations are also expensive, partly because the additional source term converges slowly.

In fact Jung argues, in recent work (9), that diamond-difference solutions of the  $S_N$  -  $P_L$  equations converge, in the  $L_2$  norm, to solutions of the corresponding spherical harmonics equations. In his proof, however, it is assumed that the angular flux and its spatial derivatives are continuous in  $\underline{r}$ . Since this is not always true  $(\underline{10})$  Jung's proof may not be valid, although his conclusions may be correct nevertheless.

Reed and Jone Su or Pr equations are certainly equivalent to time Pr squarement at points where the cross sections are continuous.

There is nowless, no obstices connection between the Humpantsev (8) interface continuity conditions, generally used in Pr approximations, and the continuity conditions imposed at interfaces in most Su Pr codes. There, for example, as a representation of x and the X-y geometry. For the restrict is a continuous so not always y current is continuous in x. The requirement that the restrict of advantages and the discontinuous science any discontinuous science any discontinuous science and the discontinuous science and the discontinuous science and the state continuous currents to satisfy the Pr continuous science in the specialist of a continuous continuous of the angular lines of the angular lines, the second continuous mith constant confinctors. All moments, it could seem dust then be continuous.

At this time the behavior of S<sub>N</sub> - P<sub>1</sub> solutions is not clearly understood. It scope likely, however, that it, in an S<sub>N</sub> - P<sub>1</sub> code, the angular flower are forced to be continuous, then they will change very residing at interfaces so as to approximate figurations or or the angular flower than a trans made and to see that the angular flower become discontinuous and or transpordingly, the firstitions sources contain a-louview components. In recent work fact, appear to satisfy the ordinary P<sub>1</sub> continuity consistions at convergence, but that the convergence rate of fire iterative convergence, but that the convergence rate of fire iterative conditions at applicately process depends attendity or the nature of the spatial difference equations. The dimend difference equations at interfaces and, when the dimend difference equations at interfaces and, when the dimend equations are used, convergence to the story of the story experience of them in conventional S<sub>N</sub> codes.

At any face the by opproximations are fee of ray effects (7). In a limited master (and perhaps not enough) tests these approximations reem, in addition, to be fairly accurate. Unfortunately the by - Pt calculations are also exponsive, partly because the additional source term con expos also by

In fact Jung argues, in recent work (E), that diamond-different solutions of the Sg - Pi equations converge, in the les norms, to acqueium of the corresponding apherical harmonive aquations, in his prior, however, it is assumed that the angular flux and the prior derivatives are continuous in r. Since this is not always true (LO) Jung's proof may not be valid, sithnesh his conclusions may be correct nevertheless.

The finite element treatment of the angular variables combines, in some degree, various features of the discrete ordinate and spherical harmonics methods. When the finite element method is used to discretize these variables the unit sphere is, generally, subdivided into disjoint subintervals. Within each subinterval, the angular flux is then represented as a polynomial, of some sort, in some function of the angles, but the flux or its angular derivatives may be discontinuous at subinterval boundaries. Thus, the artificial coupling between fluxes in different directions ought to be somewhat weaker than in the spherical harmonics approximations. On the other hand, the coupling between angular fluxes within each subinterval should tend to mitigate ray effects.

The finite element method has been used by Ohinishi to discretize the space (12) and angle (13) variables, separately. Miller, Lewis and Rossow (14) discretize both the space and angle variables simultaneously.\* Ohnishi's angular basis functions are general polynomials in the direction cosines  $\mu$  and  $\eta$ . Miller and his colleagues use functions which are bilinear in  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$ . Ohnishi does not report numerical results in Ref. 13, but we see in Ref. 14 that the finite element method  $\emph{can}$  eliminate ray effects in problem configurations where  $S_N$  ray effects are quite severe.

It is clear, then, that the spherical harmonics method, and associated partial-range polynomial expansions (like the finite element method), have some very attractive features. But, unfortuately, it is still too early to celebrate mankind's total victory over the neutron transport equations. It is important to remember that the spherical harmonics method has not been neglected for so many years simply through an oversight. Multidimensional spherical harmonics computations are still expensive. Solutions of the  $P_{\rm L}$  equations still converge very slowly, in voids and small absorbing lumps, as L increases. At this point it seems that the spherical harmonics method is appropriate only in special situations, as an auxiliary computational technique.

Apparently at least one of the undesirable traits of the spherical harmonics method has been inherited by the finite element method. Because of the complicated coupling between angles in the finite element method the finite element equations take much more time to solve, for a given number of unknowns, than the  $S_N$  equations. As for the accuracy of the finite element approximation (when applied to the angle variable) very little information is available. In particular, more data must be accumulated before one can judge the performance of the finite element method

For other work on phase space finite element methods see also Refs. 15 and 16.

The finite discent trouteent at the adjuster variables tenbines, in some degree, various features of the discrete architecte and spherical harmonics. When the idente element sealand is used to discretize there we write the open ediant is gonetally enthicked to discretize the open when the open ediant is gone-

raily, subdivided into disjoint subinterents, within each subinterval, the angular flux is thun represented as a polynosial,
of some sort, in some function of the appie, but the first or
its angular derivatives may be disconsinuous as subinterval
becoming the subject of the artificial continue to the first spheriinterviews out to be somewhat wellow then in the spheriand harmonics approximations. On the older hand, the comping
between angular fluxes without subinterval should tend to
mitigate to effects.

The finite olument method has been used by Ohlarant to discretize the space ([]) and angle ([]) virishles, Separately. Miller, Lewis and Mossaw ([]) discretize both the space and angle variables simultaneously. Tolarable angular basis functions are general polemanists in the discretize oblines a and a. Hiller and his collegues use starting which are billness in a and a. Grassh does not report amortized results in set 15, but we see the first old on the first elevant method our eliminate ray effocts in problem configurations where Sa Tup effocts are quite severe.

Is a slear, then, that the spherical harmonics method and specially partial range polynomial enterains (11% the finite obsective method), have some were attractive features but, unfortunately, it is within to early to combine ambiend's total victory over the neutron trunsport equations. It is amortant to terminate for the neutron trunsport equations as that are more and in the spherical horseness as the true unit in the diameter of the figurations and amount of the figurations and converge very slowly, in the season that the spherical horseness without a appropriate only in appoint technique.

Apparently of least one of the indesirable fasts of the spherical himsen) a method has been inherited by the finite element method, has much of the complicated coupling between adgles in the finite element equations take much more time to solve, for a given number of enthosis, then the Sg equations. As for the located of the first clarest approximation is available, in particular, more data must be accusallated than is available, in particular, more data must be accusallated before one can hulge the performance of the sinite element maked before one can hulge the performance of the sinite element maked.

For other work on phase space finite element methods see also hels. Is and 16.

in those situations where the spherical harmonics method displays its disadvantages most conspicuously.

In differencing the transport equation it is necessary to discretize both the angular and spatial variables. The difficulties involved in differencing the angle variable have been discussed above. Ray effects are a chronic affliction of the SN method, brought on by conventional angle differencing techniques. Correspondingly the standard SN spatial difference approximations have their own characteristic weaknesses. It is clear that the solution of the neutron transport equation must be positive if the source is positive. For the sake of brevity we will say that the transport equation has "positivity". The SN spatial difference equations, however, need not have this property. Perversely, those difference equations which tend to be most accurate (in the limit as the mesh widths approach zero) lack positivity (17). One finds, for example, that solutions of the diamond equations (which, when the mesh widths are small, are among the most accurate SN difference equations available to us) become oscillatory, and may become negative, as the mesh widths increase. On the other hand the step equations, which are less accurate for small mesh widths, yield non-oscillatory, positive, fluxes whenever the source itself is non-oscillatory and positive.

Two different "fixup" techniques have been used in  $S_N$  codes to suppress negative fluxes. In some codes the negative fluxes are simply set to zero whenever they occur in the course of the inner iterative process. In others one automatically switches from diamond to step equations, within a mesh box, when it is detected that the diamond equations will generate a negative angular flux at the boundary of that box. In many respects neither technique is wholly satisfactory.

In Ref. 17, Lathrop proposed a set of difference equations (the "weighted-diamond" equations) intermediate in form between the step and the diamond equations. These weighted-diamond equations contained adjustable parameters ("weights") which controlled their properties. For certain extreme values of the weights the proposed equations degenerated completely into the usual step and diamond equations. In practice, the parameters were to be chosen (during execution of the  $S_{\rm N}$  computation) so as to guarantee that the weighted equations would be "close" to the diamond equations whenever possible but would in any case, always yield positive fluxes.

Lathrop, however, has not continued his work on the weighteddiamond scheme, and now prefers the set-to-zero flux fixup which was described earlier. He argues as follows. in those attustions where the spherical harmonics method displays

In differenting the transport equation it is measure to discretize both the engular and spatial yearibles. The initianties is involved an attherancing the augic variable have been discussed above. But effects are a record of attliction of the by consecond in the engular of the symmethed in the respondingly on by consecond in the respondingly on by consecond it is clear ther the risches the have their one the characteristic ventuesses. It is clear ther the risches about the course is positive. For the gale of brevity me will any that the transport equation has "positively". The sympatial difference equations which tend to be most acquared ento equations, however; well not have this proporty. Forver, selly, those difference equations which tend to be most acquared equations of the dismond equations (which, when the most vidths are solved in money the desired explaints of the dismond of the control of the second tend of the control of the most vidths are selly and the transport of the second tend of the control of the control of the second tend of the source that the source is stated to the transport of the source that the source is the most vidths increase and the source itself is non-capillator, positive, fluxes when-each the source itself is non-capillator, positive, the source itself is non-capillatory and positive.

Two different "flugh" techniques have been used to be endes to suppress megative fluxes. In some codes the negative fluxes era simply set to zero whomever they occur in the course of the inner iterative process. In ormers one automat cally switches from diseased to step equations, within a mesh box, what it is detected that the diamend equations will generate a meaning angular flux at the boundary of that box. In many respects nettler technique as wholly sptisfactory.

In Ref. 17, Lathrop proposed a set of difference equations (the "weighted-diamond" equations) incommediate in form between the step and the diamond equations. These weighted-diamond equations of these weighted diamond equations. ("weighted") which controlled their properties. For derival extreme values of the weights the properties of the equations of the controlled equations of the security of the practice, the parameters were to be uposed (during security of the security) so an example of the version of the security of these security of the security of these security of the security of the

Lathrop, however, has not continued his work on the weighted discoud scheme, and how prefers the set-to-tero flux vixup which was described entitlet. He argues as follows:

In the weighted-diamond computation the weighting parameters are adjusted, within each mesh box, during the mesh sweep, as soon as the fluxes entering that box are know. It is desired that the adjusted equations be as close as possible to the diamond equations (in some sense) but that they be constrained to yield non-negative exiting fluxes. Suppose one of the diamond equations exiting fluxes is negative. Then, by definition, an exiting flux which is non-negative, yet is as close as possible to that particular diamond-difference flux, must be equal to zero. Presumably, then, an optimum choice of the weighting parameters would produce a zero exiting flux in place of the corresponding, negative, diamond-difference flux. The optimum weighted-diamond scheme would, by this argument, be equivalent to the simple set-to-zero flux-fixup algorithm.

But, whatever the merits of this argument, a variant of the weighted-diamond scheme recently developed by Lee  $(\underline{18})$  seems to show some promise. Fundamentally the Lee and Lathrop weighted-diamond equations are very similar, though Lee's method for setting the adjustable parameters differs substantially from that originally proposed by Lathrop. Lee's method is now used extensively at Los Alamos, but more information on the performance of the method is needed before it can be fully assessed. In particular, more information is needed about the accuracy of the weighted-diamond equations, compared to the accuracy of the ordinary diamond equations with their associated fixup schemes.

For the sake of brevity I shall not attempt, here, a complete survey of recent literature on the finite element method as it has been applied to the spatial variables. I will, however, comment specifically on work by Reed and Hill  $(\underline{19})$ , and by Kaper, Leaf and Lindemann  $(\underline{16})$ , since these authors have reported some particularly interesting test results.

Reed and Hill have experimented with the finite element method, in various forms, in a somewhat specialized triangular mesh. They applied the finite element method only to the spatial variable, retaining the conventional  $S_{\rm N}$  treatment of the angular variable. Within each triangle the angular flux is represented as a polynomial. In one set of finite element approximations the angular fluxes are forced to be continuous across triangle boundaries while, in another set, angular fluxes at these boundaries are allowed to be discontinuous. An analysis of the results of their test computations leads the authors to the following conclusions:

(1) Errors in integrated quantities (i.e. integrated fluxes or reaction rates) are  $O(h^2)$ , whatever the order of the polynomial basis functions.

In the weighted-dismond computation the weighting parameters are adjunted, within each, mesh box, during the man sucep, as soon as the finnes entering that box are now. It is desired that the adjusted equations be an elloss as possible to the dismond equations (in seem news) but that they be constituted to the dismond yield non-negative exiting films which is non-negative, whi is as (less as possible exiting films which is non-negative, whi is as (less as possible to that particular diamond-difference flux which we opinite out the value of the weighting for respective, while no film weighting flux much as apparent respective, then, as of the corresponding, negative, diamond-difference flux in place of the corresponding, negative, deaders sould, by this argument, be equivalent to the simple set the sample set set the sample

But, wherever the merits of this explaent, a variant of the weighted-diagond scenes recently developed by let [13] segme to show some promise. Fundamentally the law and intermpt vergesed-diagond equations are very similar, chough lee's scriped for sotting the adjustable parameters differs substantially from that vinginally and late that he weighted by both more information on the parameters of the parameters of the extend to merically used in many the weight distantially in merical about the accuracy of the ordinary diagond equations with near associated from scenars.

For the take of breviev I shall not at empt, here, a complete survey of sevent trenspore on the finite element method as it has been expelled to the spatial verificer. I will, nowever, comment appetitically on vort by Reed and Hill (19), and to laper. Loaf and Lindensen (15), alone there millions have reported some particularly distensesting four results.

Reed and Hill have experienced with the finite element method, in various forms, in a cometent appointment triangular mean. They explicat the finite element acted only to the spatial variable, retaining the conventional a freetreen of the angular variable. Milling out triupple the angular flux is represented as polynomial. In one set of fluite element approximations the argular fluxes are forced to be emittance acted triangle boundaries arise while, in outlier set, angular fluxes at these boundaries are although the discontinuous, An unalysis of the results of their test computations, leads the authors to the following coaclusions:

(1) Errors in integrated quantities (1.e. integrated fluxes of reaction rates) are O(b), whatever the order of the polynomial basis functions.

- (2) Errors in fluxes at points are O(h), whatever the order of the polynomial basis functions.
- (3) Oscillations in the flux, and negative fluxes, tend to be strongly suppressed when the flux is allowed to be discontinuous at element interfaces.

Apparently the order of the error in finite element methods, (as they are currently formulated) is limited by singularities in the angular flux, singularities whose presence was first noted by Arkuszewski, Julikowska and Mika  $(\underline{10})$ . On the basis of their test results Reed and Hill concluded that discontinuous ("nonconforming") finite element approximations are superior to confirming approximations. They have, therefore, incorporated a nonconforming approximation into TRIPLET  $(\underline{20})$ , a triangular mesh transport code recently developed at Los Alamos. It is interesting to note that Kaper, Leaf and Lindemann  $(\underline{16})$ , also,have compared the accuracy of finite element computations with conforming and nonconforming elements: they, also, conclude that nonconforming elements seem to be preferable.

The finite element method may be applied to the neutron transport equation either in its first- or second-order form. Thus, for example, work by Ohnishi (12), and by Reed and Hill (19), is based on the first-order form, while Miller and his colleagues (14), as well as Kaper, Leaf and Lindemann (16), treat the second-order form. In a recent paper (21), Briggs, Miller and Lewis show that, when a simple finite element method is applied to the angular variables in the second-order form, the resulting differential equation (in x and y) is elliptic. Since an elliptic equation has no real characteristics, its solution must be free of ray effects. The fact that the second-order form generates such elliptic equations is noted also by Kaper. Leaf and Lindemann (16); but these authors point out that elliptic equations lead to boundary value problems which are, generally, more difficult to solve than initial value problems. Thus, the finite element methods which are most effective in eliminating ray effects are also, from a practical point of view, the most akward and inconvenient methods. It seems reasonable to conclude, from all that has been said here, that conventional S, codes will be with us for a very long time.

## MONTE CARLO METHODS

It is not feasible to undertake, here, a complete review of Monte Carlo methods in all their many forms. Instead we shall sketch, very briefly, the capabilities of methods currently in use, as well as their most serious weaknesses.

(2) Strong in Chicagas, goals on O(n), shareer the order of the galymost alternate discountry

(3) Decidentions in the first and acquired finers, read to be disconbe arroughy supervised agent the first is allowed to be disconfinguous at alement later faces.

Apparantly the order of the error in finite signous methods, far they are currently formulated) is limited by singularizion in the angular flux, singularities whose proteines was first noted by Arkustawais, Unitiowesh and Mikaring). On the basis or their testing from the finite at their discontinuous that discontinuous ("noncontinuous approximations, They have investing an encountry and protein of the first testing in the first mesh transport encountry developed in in Alens Tillia at the esting and port continuous about there, they and limit alens 100, with he continuing and the accordance of the continuing and character seem to me must see an continuing and character seem to me must read an accordance of the announcements are a continuing and character seem to me must read on the seem to me must read an announcement also are the announcement of the seem to me must read and a continuous allows and a continuous allows are the announcement of the seem to me must read and a continuous and allowed allowed and a continuous and allowed a continuous and allowed and allowed a continuous and allowed and all

The Engineer squareous although may be applied to the modified framing from the first of second-crief from the first of second-crief from the first for example, which is first on the post of the first form, the first of the fi

## MONTH CARRO METABLES

It is not isneship to undertake, norm, a complete review of Monte Carlo medicals in all their many forms. Instead we shall sketch, very briesly, the depahilities of methods carrently in two, of well as their most serious addresses. The advantages of the Monte Carlo method are well known. First, it is relatively easy to put complicated cross sections, and complicated geometric detail into Monte Carlo codes. To treat such complications by deterministic methods is relatively difficult. Secondly, the only significant unavoidable errors in Monte Carlo (at least in principle) are statistical errors, and the Monte Carlo method can itself (again, at least in principle) provide us with estimates of these errors.

On the other hand we find that, when one fully utilizes all the capabilities of Monte Carlo methods, Monte Carlo computations can be quite expensive. Generally, the more information one demands from any Monte Carlo computation, the greater the cost. Thus, for example, while it is possible to compute Monte Carlo fluxes in a limited number of regions, and even at a limited number of points (22), it is prohibitively expensive (by current Monte Carlo method\* to generate a detailed plot of fluxes or reaction rates.

Further it should be understood that Monte Carlo estimates are often biased, and that estimates of statistical errors are not always reliable. Monte Carlo estimates of ratios are almost invariably biased. Eigenvalue computations are always biased (24), and most are not (by Gast's definition (24)) "fair games". In any Monte Carlo calculation where (as in shielding calculations) the sampling distributions are far from normal, it is extremely difficult to estimate realistic error bounds. In principle it is always possible to set conservative bounds, through use of Tchebycheff inequality (25), if the variance of the sampling distribution is known. Unfortunately, however, when the sampling distribution is badly skewed, estimates of the variance may be totally misleading so that even the Tchebyscheff inequality is not always helpful.

But even when one deals with distributions which are normal the computations of error estimates is not necessarily a simple task. Thus it is very difficult, in many cases, to produce reliable error estimates in eigenvalue problems, simply because sample values obtained from successive generations are correlated (26). The degree of correlation increases with the dominance ratio and, for example, in large thermal reactors, where the dominance ratio may be very close to one, error estimation techniques which ignore these correlations will be grossly inadequate.

Detailed flux plots can be obtained by conditional Monte Carlo (23), but very little is known, at present, about the capabilities and limitations of conditional Monte Carlo in neutron transport computations.

The advantages of the isome Carlo metend are well known First. it is relatively easy to put complicated cross sections, and complicated competitively carlo monte Carlo codes. To times such somplicated constructions by determinable, cometices as relatively difficult, secondly, the only significant mentions errors in the Carlo (ab that is principle) are statistical errors, and the Carlo method can itself begin, at least in principle) provide us with estimates of these errors.

On the other hand we find that, when one fully universe all the capabilities of Monte Carlo methods, butte Carlo computations you be quite expensive. Somerally, the more information one demands from any longe Carlo computation, whe greater line cust. Thus, in a manuals, while it is pearable to compute Monte Carlo where of the computation and even at a biglied number of regions, and even at a biglied number of points (22), it is probabilitively expensive thy current Monte Carlo mathematics and even detailed plot of Church rescottor rates.

Further it should be uncertood that Monto Larto serimines and often blased, and that estimates of strikelies circle and not always retroble. Monto Carlo estimates of ratios are always beased flywariably bissed, they will be a strike of the same always beased in my Monto Carlo calculation where (as in sluciding caronial in my Monto Carlo calculation where (as in sluciding caronial flows and ing distributions are far from normal, it is extensely distributed to estimate realists error tounds. In principle it is always possible to set conservation bounds, through the capital distribution is known, through a trop warrance of the variance of the variance may pling distributed is haffy should asymmetas of the variance may not always helpful.

But even when one deals with distributions which are mountal the possessinity a simple the possessinity a simple real. Thus is to very difficult, in many cases, to product sold able error estimates in signification, in many cases, to product sold able error estimates are correlated from the depression are correlated ratio and, for example, including the complete the deminates ratio and, for example, including the constitution to form and the services where the adjunction that are only be very close to use, circl estimation teacherings which ignore these correlations will be grossly inadequated.

noralled flow plots can be obtained by conditional Monte Carlo (28), but very little is known, at present, about the capabilitime and limitations of conditional Monte Carlo in neutron transport computations.

Unfortunately the only methods available today for treating such correlations have no firm theoretical foundation.

Of course Monte Carlo codes are not intended primarily for the computation of error estimates. It is not very consoling to know that an error estimate is reliable if the estimated error is intolerably large. Statistical fluctuations are themselves perhaps the most troublesome feature of Monte Carlo, particularly in perturbation calculations. Various Monte Carlo techniques have been developed specifically for the treatment of small perturbations. One (generally attributed to Mathes (27)) involves the estimation of a bilinear functional in the fission source and its adjoint. In order to evaluate this functional Matthes assumes that the source and the adjoint source are flat over prescribed regions. This is, of course, an approximation and, unfortunately, an approximation whose accuracy is difficult to assess. Though the Matthes method in its original form was based on first-order perturbation theory, recent work has shown (28) that the perturbation approximation is not an essential feature of the Matthes method. The method can be reformulated so as to avoid perturbation theory, but unfortunately other weaknesses in the Matthes method remain.

A second method goes by various names, but is often referred to as "complete correlation" (25). The method of complete correlation involves the simultaneous treatment of perturbed and unperturbed problem configurations, using a single set of histories. Complete correlation is an exact method. The use of complete correlation does not necessarily entail any special approximation. That the method is exact seems to be its most attractive feature, but it has its share of compensating disadvantages. Complete correlation is most effective when the "perturbed" and "unperturbed" states are physically very similar. On the other hand, it may be very ineffective when these states differ substantially. Consider, for example, two LMFBR reactors which are identical, except in their coolant regions. Suppose that sodium has been voided from certain coolant channels of one reactor, while the second reactor is in its normal state. The net change in eigenvalue caused by coolant voiding may be very small (i.e. <<1%), but the physical properties of the voided channels have changed drastically, Small eigenvalue changes, induced by large physical changes, are difficult to compute by correlated sampling.

The treatment of perturbations is particularly difficult when the perturbations are confined to small regions. Both the Matthes method and the method of complete correlation require that a substantial number of sample histories be tracked through the perturbed region. But if this region is small, then, in analog Monte Carlo, only a small fraction of the sample histories

Unfortunately the only methods available today for treating such

Of course Monte Curio codes are not intended primarily for the computation of arror estimates. It is not very consoling to book that was entered is reliable if the estimated error is intuitively large. Statistical introductions are themselves perhaps the most torphisms is enture of Mante Carlo, particular in 19 may be a consolination calculation. Various Monte Carlo restuent of an Interpretation calculation. Various Monte (2) particular back been developed a pacifically for the treatment of the colyes have been developed as collect to exclusional in the region source and the secure and the secure and the state that the region over prescribed regions. This is, of course, an approximation over prescribed regions. This is, of course, an approximation to assess that the perturbation where we method is not an essential feature of the Mattley method is not an essential feature of the Mattley method. The method can be referribleted as as the owned perturbation theory, ruces with has shown to avoid perturbation theory, but unfortunately other weaknesses in that the perturbation theory, but unfortunately other weaknesses in the Mattley method remain.

A second method goes by various names, but is often retarted to as "complete correlation" [35]. The method of complete correlation in the method of perturbed and lation inpolices the simultaneous treatment of perturbed and tories. Complete correlation is an exact method. The use of complete correlation is not necessarily orising as special approximation form. That the method is essent seems to be its most streative leature, but it has its start all coopensating disativantees. Complete correlation is most effective when the "for turbed" and "haperturbed" states are physically very similar. On the other hand, it may be very ineffective when these states differ substantially. Consider, for example, two CAFTA reactors that sodium has been veided from extain coolant replons. Ruppose that sodium has been veided from extain coolant replons. Ruppose that sodium has been veided from extain coolant channals at one met channels after exactor, while the sector is in its normal states. The small (i.e. self), but the physical propurties of the voided duced by large physical changes, are difficult to compute by correlated sampling.

The truminest of perturbations is particularly difficult, when the perturbations are confined to stall regions. Both the Marthus method and the method of complete correlation require that a substantial mucher of sample historius be tracked through the perturbed region. But if this region is small than, in analog Mante Carlo, only a small fraction of the sample historius

will pass through it. It is clear that, in such cases, radical measures must be taken to enhance the density of sample neutrons near the perturbation. This might be done, for example, by conventional roulette and splitting. It is possible that biasing methods used by Steinberg and Kalos (22), in conjunction with their point estimation technique, may also be invoked (in place of splitting) to draw sample neutrons towards regions containing perturbations. In any case, as these regions decrease in size it becomes increasingly difficult to sense the perturbations, and the various effects which they induce.

In fixed source calculations, reaction rates in small regions are often computed by the adjoint method (25). Unfortunately, however, it is not a simple matter to adapt the adjoint method to reactivity computations. A Monte Carlo technique which incorporates the adjoint method into reactivity perturbation calculations has recently been developed by Bernnat (29). It is to be hoped that perturbation methods based on adjoint computations will be further elaborated and refined in the future.

At this point the Monte Carlo method is an essential tool of reactor analysis, essential in that it may often be feasible when all other methods fail. Yet the state-of-the-art today is far from satisfactory. Monte Carlo calculations are still generally very expensive. The theory of eigenvalue calculations is still primitive. Methods used for estimating confidence intervals need a good deal of improvement. Perturbation calculations are still difficult. In many respects, it seems deterministic methods are more highly developed and better understood than Monte Carlo methods. Perhaps it is time for a vigorous and concerted effort to extend the capabilities of Monte Carlo, since so much work on Monte Carlo remains to be done.

## REFERENCES

- A. Leonard, "Collision Probabilities and Response Matrices: An Overview," see these Proceedings.
- 2. E. M. Gelbard, "Simplified Spherical Harmonics Equations and Their Use in Shielding Problems,"  $\underline{\text{Trans.}}$   $\underline{\text{Am.}}$   $\underline{\text{Nucl.}}$   $\underline{\text{Soc.}}$  3, 349 (1960). For the relation between the  $\underline{\text{simplified}}$  and true  $P_L$  equations see Ref. 3.
- D. S. Selengut, "New Form of the P<sub>L</sub> Approximation," <u>Trans.</u>
   Am. <u>Nucl.</u> <u>Soc.</u> 13, 625 (1970).
- K. D. Lathrop, "Ray Effects in Discrete Ordinates Equations," <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u> 32, 357 (1968).

will pass through it. It is clear that, in such cases, radical measures must be taken to enhance the density of sample neutrons nest the perturbation. This might be lone; for example, by conventional routestee and splitting. It is possible that bissing methods used by Steinburg and Kales (21, in conjunction with their point estimation technique, may also be invoked in place of splitting) to draw sample neutrons towards regions containing perturbations. In any case, as chose regions decrease in sire it becomes increasingly difficult to sense the perturbations, and the various effects which they induce.

In fixed source calculations, reaction rates in small regions are often computed by the adjoint method [35]. Unfortunately, herever, it is not a simple matter to udapt the adjoint mathod to reactivity computations. A Monte Carlo technique which incorporates the adjoint method into reactivity perturbation calculations has recently been developed by Seaman [39]. It is to be above that perturbation methods based on adjoint computations will be further elaborated and retiped in the future.

At this point the Monte Carlo method is an essential tool of tractor analysis, essential in that it may often be feasible when all other methods full, ret the stare-of-the-art today is far from satisfactory. Monte Carlo calculations are still generally very expensive. The theory of eigenvalue calculations is still printitive. Methods used for estimating confidence intervals meet a good deal of improvement. Perturbation calculations are still difficult. In many respects, it seems describing methods are more highly developed and better understood than methods are more highly developed and better understood than correct of fair to estend the capabilities of Monte Carlo, since so much work on Monte Carlo remains to be done.

## REFERENCES

- 1. A. Leonard, "Collision Probabilities and Response Matrices:
  An Overview," see these Proceedings
- 2. H. M. Gelbard, "Simplified Spherical Sarmonics Equations and Theor (se in Shelding Problems," Trans. Am. Nacl. Sec. 5, 549 (1960) For the relation between the simplified and true Pu equations see Ref. 3.
- D. S. Selengut, "New Form of the P<sub>L</sub> Approximation," <u>Trans.</u> am. Nucl. Soc. 13, 525 (1970).
- 4, K. D. Lathrop, "Ray Effects in Discrete Ordinarca Equations," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 32, 357 (1968).

- 5. K. D. Lathrop, "Remedies for Ray Effects," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 45, 255 (1971).
- 6. W. H. Reed, "Spherical Harmonics Solutions of the Neutron Transport Equation from Discrete Ordinate Codes," <u>Nucl. Sci.</u> Eng. 49, 10 (1972).
- J. Jung, H. Chijiwa, K. Kobayashi and H. Nishihara, "Discrete Neutron Transport Equation Equivalent to P<sub>L</sub> Approximation," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 49, (1972).
- G. Y. Rumyantsev, "Boundary Conditions in the Spherical Harmonic Method," J. Nucl. Energy, Part A/B, 16, 111 (1962).
- J. Jung, N. Ohtani, K. Kobayashi and H. Nishihara, "Solution of Standard Diamond-Difference Equations for Discrete Ordinate Neutron Transport Equations Equivalent to the P<sub>L</sub> Approximation in x-y Geometry," <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng. 53</u>, 355 (1974).
- 10. J. Arkuszewski, T. Kulikowska and T. Mika, "Effect of Singularities on Approximation in  $S_N$  Methods," <u>Nucl. Sci. Eng.</u> 49, 20 (1972).
- W. F. Miller, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Personal Communication.
- T. Ohnishi, "Application of Finite Element Solution Techniques to Neutron Diffusion and Transport Equations,"
   Proc. Conference on New Developments in Reactor Mathematics and Applications, USAEC-CONF-710302, Vol. 2, p. 73 (1971).
- 13. T. Ohnishi, "Finite Element Solution Techniques for Neutron Transport Equations (F<sub>N</sub> Approximation)," <u>Proc. Conference</u> on Numerical Reactor Calculations, p. 629, International Atomic Energy Agency (1972).
- 14. W. F. Miller, Jr., E. E. Lewis and E. C. Rossow, "The Application of Phase-Space Finite Elements to the Two-Dimensional Neutron Transport Equation in x-y Geometry," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 52, 12 (1973).
- 15. S. Ukai, "Solution of Multi-Dimensional Neutron Transport Equation by Finite Element Method," <u>J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.</u> (Japan) 9, 366 (1972).
- H. G. Kaper, G. K. Leaf and A. J. Lindemann, "Applications of Finite Element Methods in Reactor Mathematics. Numerical Solution of the Neutron Transport Equations," ANL-8216, Argonne National Laboratory (1974).

- 5. ck. D. Lathrop, "Nemedies for Kay (freets," guel. Sci. ung.
- 6. W. H. Reed, "Spherical Margonics Solutions of the Neutron Trunsport Equation from Discrete Ordinare Codes," Nucl. Sec. Eng. 49, 10 (1972).
- 7. d. Jung, H. Chijawa, K. Kobayashi and N. Nishibara, "Discrote Neutron Francjort Equation Equivalent to Fr Approximation," Mucl. Sci. Eng. 49, (18-2)
- . G. Y. Rumyantsev, "Boundary Conditions in the Spherical Harmonic Method," J. Nucl. Energy, Part A/B, 16, 111 (1962).
- 9. J. Jung, N. Ohtani, K. Kobiyashi and M. Nishihara, "Solution of Standard Dismond-Difference Equations for Discrete Ordinate Neutron limits part Equations Fourvalent to the Proposition in x-y Geometry," Nurl. Sci. Eng. 53, 555 (1974).
- 1D. J. Arkuszewski, T. Mulikowski and T. Miss, "fifect of Singularities on Approximation in S<sub>N</sub> Methods," Nucl. Sol. Eng. 49, 20 (1972).
  - 1). W. F. Willer, Los Alaros Schniffle Laboratory, Personal Communication.
- 12. T. Ohmishi, "Application of Finite Element Sulption Techniques to Neutron Olifusion and Transport Equations," Froc. Conference on New Developments in Resitor Mathematics and Applications, USARC-CONF-210302, Vol. 2, p. 75 (1971).
- T. Ohnish: "Finite Element Solution Techniques for Neutron Transport Equations (En Approximation)." Proc. Conference on Numerical Reactor Valculations, p. 629, International Neomic Energy Agency (1872).
  - 14. W. F. Miller, Jr., E. R. Leuds and H. C. Rossow, "The Application of Phase-Space Finite Elements to the Two-Dimensional Neutron Transport Equation in x-y Geometry."
    Nucl. Sci. Eng. 52, 12 (1975)
- 15. S. Ukad, "Solution of Multi-Dimensional Neutron Transport, Equation by Finite, Element Method," J. Nucl. Sci. lechnol. (Japan) 9, Sob (1972).
- to. H. G. Kaper, G. K. Lend and A. J. Lindemann, "Applications of Finite Element Methods in Resorm Mathematics. Numerical Salution of the Sention Transport Equations," ANL-8216.

  Arconne National Liberatory (1974)

- K. D. Lathrop, "Spatial Differencing of the Transport Equation: Positivity vs. Accuracy," <u>J. Comp. Physics</u> <u>4</u>, 457 (1969).
- C. E. Lee, "The LS<sub>n</sub> Operator Method in Transport Theory," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 18, 149 (1974).
- 19. W. H. Reed and T. R. Hill, "Triangular Mesh Methods for the Neutron Transport Equation," <u>Proc. Conference on Mathemati-cal Models and Computational Techniques for Analysis of Nuclear Systems</u>, USAEC-CONF-730414-P1, Vol. I, p. 10 (1973).
- W. H. Reed, T. R. Hill, F. W. Brinkly and K. D. Lathrop, "TRIPLET: A Two-Dimensional, Multigroup, Triangular Mesh, Planar Geometry, Explicit Transport Code," LA-5428-MS, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (1973).
- L. L. Briggs, W. F. Miller, Jr. and E. E. Lewis, "Ray Effect Mitigation in Discrete Ordinate-Like Angular Finite Element Approximations," Nucl. Sci. Eng., to be published.
- 22. M. H. Kalos, "On the Estimation of Flux-at-a-Point by Monte Carlo," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 16, 111 (1963).
- D. W. Drawbaugh, "On the Solution of Transport Problems by Conditional Monte Carlo," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 9, 185 (1961).
- R. C. Gast, "Monte Carlo Eigenfunction Strategies That Are and Are Not Fair Games," WAPD-TM-878, Westinghouse Atomic Power Laboratory (1969).
- J. Spanier and E. M. Gelbard, "Monte Carlo Principles and Neutron Transport Problems," Addison Wesley (1969).
- 26. E. M. Gelbard and R. E. Prael, "Monte Carlo Work at Argonne National Laboratory," <u>Proc. of NEACRP Meeting of a Monte</u> <u>Carlo Study Group</u>, ANL-75-2, p. 201, Argonne National <u>Laboratory</u> (1974).
- 27. W. Matthes, "Some Applications of the Monte Carlo Method at the EURATOM Research Center Ispra," Proc. Conference on New Developments in Reactor Mathematics and Applications, USAEC-CONF-710302, Vol. 2, p. 803 (1971).
- T. J. Hoffman, Monte Carlo Reactivity Calculations Using a Perturbation Source," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 15, 912 (1972).
- 29. W. Bernnat, "A Monte Carlo Technique for Local Perturbations in Multiplying Systems," Proc. NEACRP Meeting of a Monte Carlo Study Group, ANL-75-2, p. 261, Argonne National Laboratory (1974).

171 K. Dr. Lathrop, "Spatial Differencing of the Transport Equation: Pentitivity vs. Accuracy," J. Comp. Physics 5, 455 (1969).

(8. C. C. Lee. "The LS, "poraror Method in Transport Theory."" Trans. Am. Mool. Soc. 16, 149 (1974).

19. N. N. Reed and T. W. Hill. "Trimmitta Nesh Herhods for the Mentron Trimesort Sanntion, Parac, Conference at Michematical Analysis of Muches Tracement User. COM Fig. 11. Vol. 1, p. 110 (1973).

20. w. H. Roed, T. R. Hill, F. W. Brinkly and K. W. Lathrop, "TRIPLET A Fre-Dimensional, Multiproup, Tilangular Mesh, Pagar Geometry, English Transport Code," L7-5428-MS, Lor Almos Scientific Laboratory (1973).

21. L. L. Brigge, W. E. Miller, Jr. end B. E. lexis, "Ray Effect Mitzgetton in Discrete Drivate Like Angular Finite Element Approximations," Nucl. Sci. Ling., to me plublished.

22. M. H. Kalon, 'On the Balimation of Flox-at-a-Point by Monte Carlo, "Nucl Sol Engl 16, 111 (1965).

es. D. W. humbaugh, "On the Solution of Trunsport Problems by Conditional Mante Carlo," Nucl. Sci. Prg. 9, 185 (1961).

2M. R. C. Cast, "Monte Carle Spenimotion Strategies That Are and Are Mont Fair Gunes," MAPD-TM-878, Mestingnouse Atomic

25 G. Spanier and S. M. Setbard, "Monte Carlo Painciples and Newtron Transport Problems," Addison Wesler (1969).

26, E. M. delbard and R. E. Freel, "Monto Carlo Nork at Argonne Marional Laboratory," Proc. of NEACO Meeting of a ficuse Carlo Study Group, AMI-75-2, p. 201, Argonne Notional

27. W. Martines, "Some Applications of the Monte Crito Mcthod at the EURATON Mosenton Center Ispra." Proc. Conference on New Developments in Reacrow Middle and Applications, ISAEC

rg. T. 3. Hoffman, Monto Carlo Reactivity Calculations Using a Parturbation Source, "Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 13, 912 (1972)

29. M. Bermant, "A Monto Carlo Technique for Local Portachations on Malifelying Systems," Proc. MACHO Meeting of A Monte Carlo Malifelying Group, AML-75-2, p. 251, Argonic National Educatory (1975)

3

3 4444 00034654 4